ORIGINAL PAPER



A comparative study of deterministic and stochastic dynamics of rumor propagation model with counter-rumor spreader

Moumita Ghosh · Pritha Das · Parthasakha Das 💿

Received: 21 February 2023 / Accepted: 10 July 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2023

Abstract With the popularity of various social media, the propagation of rumors is becoming a social threat. Here, the proposed mathematical model signifies the dynamics of rumor propagation on social media with the influence of counter-rumor spreaders in regulating the transmission process as well as controlling its harmful effect. The total number of users is divided into four categories: (i) newcomer, (ii) spreaders, (iii) counterrumor spreaders, iv) stiflers. The spreading threshold (\mathcal{R}_0) of rumor transmission regulates the condition of the prevalence of rumor. $(\mathcal{R}_0) < 1$ assures the stability of rumor-free state, while $(\mathcal{R}_0) > 1$ assures that one prevailing state exists uniquely with stable nature. Condition for global stability of prevailing state for deterministic system is derived. Subsequently, the corresponding stochastic model demonstrates the effect of random external factors (Wiener process) on rumor propagation dynamics. The global existence and uniqueness of the solution are established to study the asymptotic behavior of that solution around the steadystates. We have also compared the persistence criterion

P. Das e-mail: prithadas01@yahoo.com

P. Das (🖂)

of rumor propagation for the modified system with the deterministic system and derived the condition for the extinction of rumor. Furthermore, scatter plots indicate the significant impact of parameters and numerical simulations are presented to validate the analytical studies. Numerical results assure that environmental noise plays a significant role in suppressing rumor propagation.

Keywords Social networking sites (SNS) · Spreading threshold · Transcritical bifurcation · Wiener process · Sensitivity analysis

1 Introduction

Rumors are basically improvised or fabricated news that are the results of discussions of people with hive mentality [1]. Advent of social networking sites (SNS) makes the propagation of rumor remarkably fast to a large number of SNS users [2]. Rumors that contain negative, aggressive story and trigger insecurity or fear of uncertainty, are circulated faster [3]. Sometimes rumor shapes community sentiment, mass opinion [4], induces panic [5,6], affects economy [7].

To curb these harsh impact of rumor, it is necessary to get insight of the dynamical nature of a proper mathematical model on rumor dissemination. Like transmission of infectious disease, production and circulation of rumor has become a social contagion process among SNS users. Research of rumor propaga-

M. Ghosh \cdot P. Das

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology, Shibpur, Howrah 711103, India e-mail: ghosh.moumita1993@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Rajiv Gandhi National Institute of Youth Development, Sriperumbudur, Tamilnadu 602105, India e-mail: parthasakha87das@gmail.com

tion has been started in the year 1964 when Daley and Kendall [8] first proposed their model on rumor spread considering the dissimilarity of rumor spread and virus transmission. In DK model the total number of users in SNS are divided into three groups, ignorant class, spreader class and stifler class. Then Thomson and Maki [9] made MT model by inducing more complex interaction between ignorant class and spreader class. In 2004, Moreno used complex network to investigate rumor spread dynamics [10,11]. Zanette [12,13] also studied rumor spread model using small world network. Kawachi [14] proposed age structured deterministic model on rumor propagation. A lot of researchers studied delay deterministic rumor spread model [15,16]. To control the negative impacts of it, some researchers [17-19] discussed optimization technique using media or punishment strategy. [20] studied rumor spread dynamics on both homogeneous and heterogeneous network. In 2018 Affasinou [21] proposed another SEIR (Susceptible-Educated-Infected-Recovered) model on rumor spread dynamics to explain the impact of people's education on the rumor spreading desire of social media users. In [22,23] rumor inhibitors or debunkers were introduced to refute the rumors. Subsequently, impacts of various kinds of mechanisms like forgetting mechanism [24], hesitating mechanism [25], remembering mechanism [26] on the dynamics of rumor propagation have been investigated. Nowadays to study the rumor spread mechanism in more detail [27] introduced crowd classification for SNS users. Choi et al. [28] discussed the impact of echo chambers, which signify groups of like-minded people sharing common interests, on rumor propagation. Recently, a large number of researchers studied the random effect of external noise of white noise type on rumor propagation [23,29,30].

Sometimes rumors can affect psychological wellbeing and even trigger suicidal thoughts [31]. In reality, a handful of SNS users propagate the rumors further on social media. Very little proportion of the participants is encouraged or misled by those rumors [32,33]. The spreaders usually spread online rumors that support their beliefs or previous familiar information [34]. Therefore, users who are most likely to spread rumors, can be addressed by counter-messaging to lower their tendency or desire to circulate any online materials. To implement this strategy of debunking rumors, we propose a new mathematical model consisting of four groups of SNS users: (1) Newcomer, who are new to social media and still uninformed of rumors, (2) spreaders, who spread rumors, (3) counter-rumor-spreader, who shares authentic information, scientific or logical explanation to expose the falseness of it after receiving any rumor, (4) stifler, who simply ignores it after knowing the rumor. We study the qualitative behavior of our model to investigate the effect of counterrumor-spreaders in reducing the number of spreaders. Also, we derive the condition for prevalence of rumor (spreading threshold \mathcal{R}_0) and prove that the condition for pervasiveness of rumor depends on the incidence ratio of becoming a counter-rumor spreader (p). The inclusion of counter-rumor spreaders have made the rumor model more realistic, and it has major significance in regulating the spreading process, as discussed in the numerical section. Then LHS-PRCC sensitivity analysis is performed to know the impact of each parameter and to find out the most sensitive one. Moreover, external environmental disturbances like sudden rise of any pandemic, any event of religious or political importance, media intervention, etc. have significant impact on propagation of rumor [35-38]. The qualitative behavior of the system considering the random factors significantly deviates from the corresponding deterministic system [39–41]

Recently, a lot of research work have been published on partial differential equations [42–47]. To study the influence of random environmental factors, stochastic disturbances of white noise type are incorporated in our model [48,49]. The conditions for persistence and extinction of rumor spreaders in the modified model are derived. Also, the results of the modified model are compared with the former one analytically and numerically for better understanding.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows: A deterministic model is formulated in the Sect. 2. The definitions of parameters are also given. In Sect. 3, positive invariance, the condition for pervasiveness of rumor, and stability analysis for both steady states are investigated. The global stability of the prevailing state has also been proven. In Sect. 4, a corresponding stochastic model has been set up. Existence, uniqueness of solution, persistence and extinction of rumor are also studied in the same section. In Sect. 5, some significant numerical results are presented to enhance our theoretical findings. The LHS-PRCC sensitivity analysis is also discussed in this section. Some concluding remarks are analyzed in Sect. 6.

2 Deterministic rumor propagation model

With the advent of social media, twisted news targeting public opinion has started to disseminate significantly faster and on a wider scale. Sometimes it really induces panic or influences mass perception [4, 6] that demands to study the dynamics of rumor spread. In this article, a rumor spread model is formulated with four groups of netizens, namely, ignorant, spreader, counter-rumorspreader, stifler. Also, in COVID-19 period a lot of rumors like "the probability of new coronavirus infection among smokers is much lower than that of nonsmokers" [22] have appeared [50] and people get puzzled and spread them out of panic. Then official media, responsible websites, logical minded people started to refute them and the behavior of people changed accordingly. On the basis of these behavior of the netizens, we have proposed a deterministic rumor spreading model, consisting of the N(t) number of netizens at time t. The total population is subdivided into four categories: Ignorant individuals or newcomer I(t), spreaders S(t), counter-rumor spreaders or inhibitors C(t), stiflers R(t). When newcomers get informed about the rumor, they can react in three ways: they can spread it further, or may choose to counter or control rumor considering the bad impact of it, or may simply ignore it due to lack of interest and lack of authenticity of its source. Accordingly, they join spreader class S(t), counter spreader class C(t), or stifler class R(t). Spreaders transmit rumor among newcomers at a rate k_1 . Then the newcomers believe and join them with proportion θ_1 , some oppose with their logical explanation, authentic information and join in C(t) with proportion θ_2 and others join in R(t). Some of spreaders are blocked or reported at a rate δ as a punishment of spreading any harmful rumor. Counter-rumor spreaders interact with newcomers at a rate k_2 . After hearing the logical or scientific explanation, authentic information, newcomers either join them with proportion ϕ or join with stiflers with proportion $(1 - \phi)$. We have assumed that there is a constant flow B to the ignorant/newcomer category. All accounts of netizens get logged off at a rate μ . Note that if there is no spreader, the number of counter spreaders should be zero. Because, in absence of rumor, there is no need to counter the rumor. Moreover with the increasing value of spreaders, influence of counter-rumor spreaders on newcomers also increases. Hence, we define the parameter k_2 as a proportion function $p(1 - e^{-S})$. The inhibitor or counter-rumor spreader group C(t) includes all the resources of organizations that control the spread of rumor. The function $p(1-e^{-S})$ is non-decreasing, which ensures that these attempts to control the circulation of rumor never flop. Also, this function is asymptotic to curve y = p i.e., as $S \to \infty$ the curve of $p(1 - e^{-S})$ approaches to the value p, which signifies that these kind of resources in group C(t) are limited in real world and the process also becomes saturated gradually. Now we can formulate the model as follows:

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = B - k_1 SI - k_2 CI - \mu I$$

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \theta_1 k_1 SI - \delta S - \mu S$$

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = \theta_2 k_1 SI + \phi k_2 CI - \mu C$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = (1 - \phi) k_2 CI + (1 - \theta_1 - \theta_2) k_1 SI - \mu R.$$
(2.1)

All the parameters $B, k_1, k_2, \theta_1, \theta_2, \phi, \mu$ are considered as positive constants, and their definitions are given in Table 1.

3 Qualitative analysis of deterministic system

3.1 Non-negativity of the system

Integrating the first equation of the system (2.1)

$$\frac{dI}{dt} \ge -I(k_1S + k_2C + \mu) \tag{3.1}$$

$$\frac{dI}{I} \ge -(k_1 S + k_2 C + \mu)dt \tag{3.2}$$

$$I \ge e^{-(k_1 S + k_2 C + \mu)t}$$
(3.3)

Similarly from the second equation we have

$$\frac{dS}{dt} \ge -S(\delta + \mu) \tag{3.4}$$

$$\frac{dS}{S} \ge -(\delta + \mu)dt \tag{3.5}$$

$$S \ge e^{-(\delta + \mu)t} \tag{3.6}$$

From third we obtain

 $C \ge e^{-\mu t} \tag{3.7}$

Table 1Parameterdescription

Parameter Parameter definition							
В	Immigration number in newcomer class						
k_1	Spreading rate of rumors						
θ_1	The proportion that the people in newcomer class switch to spreader class after coming into contact with spreaders						
θ_2	The proportion that the newcomers join with counter spreaders when they get to know about rumor						
k_2	Contact rate of counter spreaders with newcomers						
р	Ratio of becoming counter spreader						
δ	The rate of blocking spreader accounts by social networking sites' admin						
φ	The proportion that the people in newcomer class switch to counter spreader class after coming into contact with them						
μ	Logging out rate of any account						

And from fourth

$$R \ge e^{-\mu t} \tag{3.8}$$

Hence, it is proved according to [51] that the solution set of the system (2.1) is always non-negative as all the parameters described in the model are positive.

3.2 Boundedness of the system

Let N(t) = I(t) + S(t) + C(t) + R(t) be the total number users on SNS. As we have already proved that all the solutions are non-negative, we have $N(t) \ge 0$. Now adding all the equations in system (2.1) we get,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = B - \delta S - \mu N$$
$$\leq B - \mu N.$$

Now integrating we get

$$N(t) \le \left(N(0) - \frac{B}{\mu}\right)e^{-\mu t} + \frac{B}{\mu}.$$
(3.9)

Therefore, taking limit as $t \to \infty$, we obtain

$$N(t) \le \frac{B}{\mu}$$

So, the system is bounded as $0 \le I(t) \le N(t), 0 \le S(t) \le N(t), 0 \le C(t) \le N(t), 0 \le R(t) \le N(t)$.

3.3 Spreading threshold of rumor

The steady-states of the system (2.1) are given by

- 1. Rumor-free-state (RFS), $\bar{E} = (\bar{I}, 0, 0, 0)$: i.e., spreader population vanishes eventually, where $\bar{I} = \frac{B}{\mu}$.
- 2. Rumor-prevailing-state (RPS), $\hat{E} = (\hat{I}, \hat{S}, \hat{C}, \hat{R})$: where spreader population prevails i.e., rumor persists with $S(t) \neq 0$.

The rumor free state of system (2.1) is given by $\overline{E} = \left(\frac{B}{\mu}, 0, 0, 0\right)$. In this article, \mathcal{R}_0 , the spreading threshold of rumor is the average number of new spreaders caused by a single spreader in ignorant population. $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ implies that on average less than 1 spreader is generated and rumor vanishes eventually from social media. On the contrary, $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ implies that more than one spreader are generated and rumor may prevail the population. Like basic reproduction number in epidemiology, here we derive the parametric expression of the spreading threshold of rumor, \mathcal{R}_0 by next generation matrix method [52]. Here 'S' is only 'infected-like' compartment and rest are 'noninfected-like' compartment. Now applying next generation method by rearranging system (2.1) as follows: $\mathcal{F} = \theta_1 k_1 SI$, $\mathcal{V} = (\delta + \mu)S$

and

$$F = DF|_{\bar{F}} = \theta_1 k_1 I, \ V = DV|_{\bar{F}} = (\delta + \mu).$$

The threshold value of influence is the largest eigenvalue of FV^{-1} is

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{\theta_1 k_1}{\delta + \mu} \frac{B}{\mu}.$$
(3.10)

3.4 Stability of the Rumor-Free-Equilibrium

The characteristic equation of the system (2.1) for RFE is given by

$$(x+\mu)^3 \left[x+\mu+\delta-\theta_1 k_1 \frac{B}{\mu} \right] = 0,$$
 (3.11)

x being the eigen value of the jacobian matrix of the system corresponding to the RFE. All the eigen values are obtained as $-\mu$ with multiplicity 3 and $\theta_1 k_1 \frac{B}{\mu} - (\delta + \mu)$. Therefore by Routh-Hurwitz criterion we have found that the RFE is stable iff $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ using (3.10).

3.5 Existence of rumor prevailing state (RPS)

At the endemic equilibrium or the rumor prevailing equilibrium $\hat{E} = (\hat{I}, \hat{S}, \hat{C}, \hat{R})$, with $\hat{S} \neq 0$, the following conditions hold.

$$B - k_1 \hat{S} \hat{I} - p(1 - e^{(-S)}) \hat{C} \hat{I} - \mu \hat{I} = 0$$

$$\theta_1 k_1 \hat{S} \hat{I} - (\delta + \mu) \hat{S} = 0$$

$$\theta_2 k_1 \hat{S} \hat{I} + \phi p(1 - e^{(-\hat{S})}) \hat{C} \hat{I} - \mu \hat{C} = 0$$

$$(1 - \phi) p(1 - e^{(-\hat{S})}) \hat{C} \hat{I} + (1 - \theta_1 - \theta_2) k_1 \hat{S} \hat{I} - \mu \hat{R} = 0.$$

(3.12)

From the second equation of (3.12) we have $\hat{I} = \frac{\delta + \mu}{\theta_1 k_1}$. Similarly from last equations we have

$$\hat{C} = \frac{\theta_2 k_1 (\delta + \mu) \hat{S}}{\theta_1 k_1 \mu - \phi k_2 (\delta + \mu)}$$

$$\hat{J} = \begin{pmatrix}
-\left[k_1 \hat{S} - p(1 - e^{-\hat{S}}) \hat{C} - \mu\right] & \theta_1 k_1 \hat{S} \\
-(k_1 + pe^{-\hat{S}} \hat{C}) \hat{I} & \theta_1 k_1 \hat{I} - (\delta + \mu) \\
-p(1 - e^{-\hat{S}}) \hat{I} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{cases}$$

$$\hat{R} = \frac{1}{\mu} (1 - \phi) p (1 - e^{(-\hat{S})}) \hat{C} \hat{I} + (1 - \theta_1 - \theta_2) k_1 \hat{S} \hat{I}.$$

Now substituting the above values in the first equation of (3.12) we get the following equation in terms of *S*

$$\mathcal{R}_{0} - \frac{k_{1}}{\mu}\hat{S} - \frac{\theta_{1}\theta_{2}k_{1}^{2}p(1 - e^{-S})\hat{S}}{\mu\left[\theta_{1}k_{1}\mu - \phi p(1 - e^{-\hat{S}})(\delta + \mu)\right]} = 1.$$
(3.13)

Now we define a continuous function f as follows:

$$f(S) : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f(S) = \left[\mathcal{R}_0 - \frac{k_1}{\mu} S - \frac{\theta_1 \theta_2 k_1^2 p (1 - e^{-S}) S}{\mu [\theta_1 k_1 \mu - \phi p (1 - e^{-S}) (\delta + \mu)]} - 1 \right].$$
(3.14)

Hence, we get $\lim_{S\to 0} f(S) = \mathcal{R}_0 - 1$ and $\lim_{S\to\infty^-} f(S) = -\infty$. Then by intermediate value property of continuous function Eq. (3.13) has a root if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$, say \hat{S} , may not be unique. Note that for $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, the rumor prevailing equilibrium does not exist.

Moreover, f'(S) < 0 for all $S \in [0, \infty)$ ensures that the rumor-prevailing equilibrium is unique. Therefore, $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ ensures that the rumor-prevailing stste exists uniquely. Also, from the above discussion, we can say the system (2.1) experiences transcritical bifurcation at $\mathcal{R}_0 = 1$.

Therefore, we can conclude that the rumor-free state always exists and is stable only when the spreading threshold $\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{\theta_1 k_1 B}{\mu(\delta + \mu)}$ is less than 1. i.e., if the transmission rate of rumor or proportion of ignorant people becoming spreaders after getting to know the rumor is lower compared to the blocking rate of malicious spreaders or the natural logging off rate of the netizens, rumor will eventually wipe out from social media. On the converse condition, the prevailing state appears, and the RFE becomes unstable.

Next, we will discuss the local stability analysis of the system (2.1) about the endemic equilibrium. The Jacobian matrix at prevailing state is given as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} \theta_{2}k_{1}\hat{S} + \phi p(1 - e^{-\hat{S}})\hat{C} \ (1 - \phi)p(1 - e^{-\hat{S}})\hat{C} + \theta k_{1}\hat{S} \\ (\theta_{2}k_{1} + \phi p e^{-\hat{S}}\hat{C})\hat{I} \ (1 - \phi)p e^{-\hat{S}}\hat{C}\hat{I} + \theta k_{1}\hat{I} \\ \phi p(1 - e^{-\hat{S}})\hat{I} - \mu \ (1 - \phi)p(1 - e^{-\hat{S}})\hat{I} \\ 0 \ -\mu \end{array} \right)$$

$$(3.15)$$

where $\theta = 1 - \theta_1 - \theta_2$. Then we obtain the characteristic polynomial for endemic state as follows.

$$\lambda^4 + X_1 \lambda^3 + X_2 \lambda_2 + X_3 \lambda + X_4 = 0$$
 (3.16)
where

$$X_{1} = \mu + c_{1} + c_{2} - c_{3}$$

$$X_{2} = \mu c_{1} + (\mu + c_{1})(c_{2} - c_{3}) + c_{4} - c_{2}c_{3} + c_{5}c_{7}$$

$$X_{3} = (\mu + c_{1})(c_{4} - c_{2}c_{3}) + \mu c_{1}(c_{2} - c_{3}) + c_{5}c_{7}(\mu - c_{3}) + c_{5}c_{6}$$

$$X_{4} = \mu c_{1}(c_{4} - c_{2}c_{3}) - \mu c_{3}c_{5}c_{7} + \mu c_{6}c_{5}$$

Springer

 $\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \mu - \phi p (1 - e^{-\hat{S}}) I_1, \quad c_2 = \mu + k_1 \hat{S} + p (1 - e^{-\hat{S}}) \hat{C} \\ c_3 &= \theta_1 k_1 \hat{I} - (\delta + \mu), \quad c_4 = \theta_1 k_1 \hat{I} \hat{S} (k_1 + p e^{-\hat{S}} \hat{C}) \\ c_5 &= p (1 - e^{-\hat{S}}) \hat{I}, \quad c_7 = \theta_2 k_1 \hat{S} + \phi p (1 - e^{-\hat{S}}) \hat{C} \\ c_6 &= \theta_1 k_1 \hat{I} \hat{S} (\theta_2 k_1 + \phi p e^{-\hat{S}} \hat{C}). \end{aligned}$

By Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion, we get the following result.

Theorem 1 The prevailing state of system (2.1) will be locally asymptotically stable if all the roots of Eq. (3.16) are with negative real parts, that is, if $X_i > 0$ for i = 1, 2, 3, 4 and $X_1X_2X_3 > X_3^2X_1X_4$.

3.6 Global stability of endemic equilibrium

Now we investigate the global stability of the prevailing state using Lyapunav stability theorem.

Theorem 2 *The rumor prevailing state is globally asymptotically stable for* $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ *.*

Proof First, we consider a positive definite function L(t) as

$$L(t) = [(I - \hat{I}) + (S - \hat{S}) + (C - \hat{C}) + (R - \hat{R})]^2.$$
(3.17)

Next, differentiating L(t) with respect to t along the solution trajectories, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \dot{L}(t) &= 2[(I - \hat{I}) + (S - \hat{S}) + (C - \hat{C}) + (R - \hat{R})] \\ (\dot{I} + \dot{S} + \dot{C} + \dot{R}) & (3.18) \\ &= 2[N(t) - (\hat{I} + \hat{S} + \hat{C} + \hat{R})](B - \mu N(t) - \delta S(t)) \\ & (3.19) \\ &= -\frac{2}{\mu}(B - \mu N(t) - \delta \hat{S}(t))(B - \mu N(t) - \delta S(t)) \\ &\text{as at the endemic state } \left[(\hat{I} + \hat{S} + \hat{C} + \hat{R}) = \frac{B}{\mu} - \delta \hat{S} \right] \\ & (3.20) \\ &\leq -\frac{2}{\mu}(B - \mu N(t) - \delta M_S)^2 \text{ if } \delta < \mu < 1 \\ &\text{and } M_S \text{ is a lower bound of spreader population } S(t). \\ & (3.21) \end{split}$$

Therefore, by Lyapunav stability theorem we can conclude that the prevailing state is globally stable for $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ and $\delta < \mu < 1$. The global stability of the rumor prevailing state can be compared to the viral scenario in social media, i.e., rumor has reached to large number of netizens and spreader population persists

and prevails in the whole population as time goes on. Therefore, if the rate of blocking spreader accounts is low enough and the spreading threshold is less than 1, rumor can go viral.

4 Stochastic model

Propagation of rumors is influenced by various random factors like social or political importance of an event, interference of media, natural calamity. The activity of the netizens also fluctuates with the disturbances in surrounding environment and some noises are created in the behavior, decision makings of the netizens [53]. To quantify the effect of environmental fluctuation on the spread of rumors, we revise the system (2.1) by inducing white noise in the growth terms of three population as follows. As the growth of stifler population does not affect the dynamics of other populations, here we drop the equation of stifler class.

$$dI = \begin{bmatrix} B - k_1 SI - p(1 - e^{(-S)})CI - \mu I \end{bmatrix} dt$$

+ $\sigma_1 I dW_1(t)$
$$dS = [\theta_1 k_1 SI - (\delta + \mu)S] dt + \sigma_2 S dW_2(t)$$

$$dC = [\theta_2 k_1 SI + \phi k_2 CI - \mu C] dt + \sigma_3 C dW_3(t),$$

(4.1)

where W_i , i = 1, 2, 3 are mutually independent one dimensional Wiener process over the complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ with filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ satisfying right continuity and increasing while \mathcal{F}_0 contains all \mathbb{P} -null sets. $W_i(0) = 0, \sigma_i^2$ indicates the intensity of white noise.

4.1 Global existence and uniqueness

First, let us consider the following functions:

$$f(I, S, C) = \left[B - k_1 SI - p(1 - e^{(-S)})CI - \mu I \right]$$

$$g(I, S, C) = \left[\theta_1 k_1 SI - (\delta + \mu)S \right]$$

$$h(I, S, C) = \left[\theta_2 k_1 SI + \phi k_2 CI - \mu C \right]$$

Lemma 1 For any initial value $(I, S, C) \in Int \mathbb{R}^{3}_{+}$, there exists unique positive solution (I, S, C) of system 4.1 for any time $t \in [0, \tau_{e})$ a.s. where τ_{e} is the explosion time.

Theorem 3 For any initial value $(S_0, I_0, C_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$, the system (4.1) has a unique solution $\forall t \ge 0$. And it will be positive in \mathbb{R}^3_+ with probability 1, a.s.

Proof The r.h.s of model (4.1) follows local Lipschitz condition, the system has unique local positive solution in $[0, \tau_e)$ a.s. τ_e is the explosion time. To prove the solution is global we need to prove $\tau_e = \infty$ almost surely. Choose a sufficiently large number N so that $(I, S, C) \in [\frac{1}{N}, N]$. Now we can define stopping time τ_n for every $n \ge N$ $\tau_n = \inf\{t \in [0, \infty) : I \notin (\frac{1}{n}, n)$ or $S \notin (\frac{1}{n}, n)$ or $C \notin (\frac{1}{n}, n)$. Since τ_n is increasing with *n*, we can define $\tau_{\infty} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tau_n$. Then $\tau_{\infty} \leq \tau_e$. Now we need to prove $\tau_{\infty} = \infty$. We shall complete the proof by contradiction. Let there exist positive constants T, ϵ such that $P\{\tau_{\infty} \leq T\} > \epsilon \forall n \geq N$. Now construct a \mathbb{C}^3 function V_0 : $\mathbb{R}^3_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ as $V_0(I, S, C) = I + 1 - \ln I + S + 1 - \ln S + C + 1 - \ln C.$ Clearly the $V_0(I, S, C)$ is non-negative in its domain. By Ito's formula we get

$$dV_0(I, S, C) = LV_0dt + (I - 1)\sigma_1 dW_1 + (S - 1)\sigma_2 dW_2 + (C - 1)\sigma_3 dW_3.$$
(4.2)

where

$$LV_{0}(I, S, C) = \left[(1 - \frac{1}{I}) f(I, S, C) + (1 - \frac{1}{S}) g(I, S, C) + (1 - \frac{1}{C}) h(I, S, C) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2} + \sigma_{3}^{2} \right] \right]$$

$$\leq B + 3\mu + \delta + k_{1} M_{S} + k_{2} M_{C} + \delta + (\theta_{1} + \theta_{2}) k_{1} M_{S} M_{C} + \sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2} + \sigma_{3}^{2} (= M, say).$$

Where M_I , M_S , M_C , are the respective supremum of I, S, C. Then

$$dV_0(I, S, C) \le Gdt + (I - 1)\sigma_1 dW_1 + (S - 1)\sigma_2 dW_2 + (C - 1)\sigma_3 dW_3$$
(4.3)

Integrating (4.3) by Ito's formula from 0 to $t_m = min\{\tau_n, t\}$ where $t \le T$ we obtain

$$V_0(I(t_m), S(t_m), C(t_m)) \le V_0(I_0, S_0, C_0) + \int_0^{t_m} M ds$$
(4.4)

$$E[V_0(I(t_m), S(t_m), C(t_m))] \le V_0(I_0, S_0, C_0) + ME(t_m)$$
(4.5)

Define $\Omega_n = \{\tau_n \leq T\} \quad \forall n \geq N$, then $P\{\Omega_n \geq c\}$. Consequently for each $\omega \in \Omega_n$ there exist $(I(\tau_n, \omega), S(\tau_n, \omega), C(\tau_n, \omega))$ which equals either *n* or $\frac{1}{n}$. Then

$$V_0(I(\tau_n, \omega), S(\tau_n, \omega), C(\tau_n, \omega))$$

> min{1 + n - ln n, 1 + $\frac{1}{n}$ - ln n}.

As a result

$$\infty > (V_0(I_0, S_0, C_0) + G\tau_n)$$

$$\geq P(\Omega_n)V_0(I(\tau_n, \omega), S(\tau_n, \omega), C(\tau_n, \omega))$$

$$\geq \epsilon[min\{1+n-\ln n, 1+\frac{1}{n}-\ln n\}]$$

$$\rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. So, $\tau_{\infty} = \infty$ and $\tau_e = \infty$. Therefore the solution is global.

Theorem 4 If $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, and the following conditions $\mu > \sigma_1^2$, $(\mu + \delta) > \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}$, $\mu > \sigma_3^2$ are satisfied, then for any initial value, the solution I(t), S(t), C(t) of system (4.1) follows the following property.

$$lim_{t\to\infty} Sup \frac{1}{t} E \int_0^t \left[(I - \frac{B}{\mu})^2 + S^2 + C^2 \right] ds$$

$$\leq \frac{\theta_1^2 \sigma_1^2 \frac{B}{\mu}^2}{K}, \qquad (4.6)$$

where $K = min\{\theta_1^2(\mu - \sigma_1^2), (\mu + \delta) - \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}, c_2[\mu - \sigma_3^2]\},$ $c_1 = \theta_1 B \frac{\frac{\delta}{\mu}}{(\delta + \mu)(1 - \mathcal{R}_0)}, c_2 = \frac{k_2}{\theta_2 k_1 M_S} [\theta_1^2 \frac{B}{\mu} - \phi].$

Proof To prove the theorem first we consider the following functional

$$V_1(I, S, C) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\theta_1 (I - \frac{B}{\mu}) + S \right]^2 + c_1 S + \frac{c_2}{2} C^2.$$
(4.7)

Now by applying Ito's formula we get

$$dV_{1}(I, S, C) = LV_{1}dt + \left[\theta_{1}\left(I - \frac{B}{\mu}\right) + S\right]$$

$$[(1 - \theta)\sigma_{1}IdW_{1}$$

$$+\sigma_{3}CdB_{3}] + p_{1}\sigma_{3}CdB_{3}$$

$$+p_{2}\sigma_{2}S^{2}dB_{2}, \qquad (4.8)$$

where

$$\begin{split} LV_1 &= \left[\theta_1\left(I - \frac{B}{\mu}\right)\right] [\theta_1 f(I, S, C) + g(I, S, C)] \\ &+ c_1 g(I, S, C) + c_2 Ch(I, S, C) \end{split}$$

Springer

$$+\frac{1}{2} \left[\theta_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2} I^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2} S^{2} + c_{2} \sigma_{3}^{2} C^{2} \right].$$
(4.9)

$$LV_{1} \leq -\theta_{1}^{2} (\mu - \sigma_{1}^{2}) \left(I - \frac{B}{\mu} \right)^{2} - \left(\mu + \delta - \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{2} \right) S^{2} - c_{2} (\mu - \sigma_{3}^{2}) C^{2} + \theta_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2} \frac{B}{\mu}^{2} + S \left[\theta_{1} B + \frac{B}{\mu} (\delta + \mu) \theta_{1} + c_{1} \theta_{1} k_{1} M_{I} - (\delta + \mu) c_{1} \right] + IC [\phi k_{2} + c_{2} \theta_{2} k_{1} S - \theta_{1}^{2} k_{2} M_{I}].$$
(4.10)

Next we define c_1, c_2 in the following way

$$c_1 = -\frac{\theta_1 B \delta}{(\delta + \mu)(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1)},$$

$$c_2 = \frac{k_2}{\theta_2 k_1 M_S} [\theta_1^2 \frac{B}{\mu} - \phi].$$

Therefore, c_1 is positive whenever $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ and c_2 is always positive. Integrating 4.8 using 4.10 and taking expectation we obtain

$$EV_{1}(t) \leq EV_{1}(0) + \theta_{1}^{2} \frac{B^{2}}{\mu} t$$

+ $E \int_{0}^{t} [-\theta_{1}^{2} (I - \frac{B}{\mu})^{2} (\mu - \sigma_{1}^{2}) - (\delta + \mu - \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{2})S^{2} - c_{2}(\mu - \sigma_{3}^{2})C^{2}]ds.$
(4.11)

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} Sup \frac{1}{t} E \int_0^t \left[\left(I - \frac{B}{\mu} \right)^2 + S^2 + C^2 \right] ds$$
$$\leq \frac{\theta_1^2 \sigma_1^2 \frac{B^2}{\mu}}{k} \tag{4.12}$$

where $K = min\{\theta_1^2[\mu - \sigma_1^2], [(\mu + \delta) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2], c_2[\mu - \sigma_3^2]\}.$

Theorem 5 Let us consider $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$. If the following conditions $\theta_1^2(\mu - k_2\hat{S}\hat{C}) > \theta_1^2\sigma_1^2 + \theta_2k_1\hat{C}$, $\delta + \mu - \theta_2k_2\hat{S}\hat{C} - \theta_2k_1\hat{C} > \sigma_2^2$, $\mu - \theta_2\hat{I}\hat{S} > \sigma_3^2$ hold, again for any initial condition, the solution $(I(t), S(t), C(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$ satisfy the following property.

$$lim_{t \to \infty} Sup \frac{1}{t} E \int_0^t \left[(I - \hat{I})^2 + (S - \hat{S})^2 + (C - \hat{C})^2 \right] ds$$

$$\leq \frac{M_0}{k_0}, \tag{4.13}$$

where
$$M_0 = \frac{1}{2}k_2\theta_1(1+\theta_1)\hat{I}\hat{C} + \theta_1^2k_2\hat{I}^3\hat{C} + \theta_1k_2\hat{I}\hat{S}^2\hat{C} + \theta_1\sigma_1^2\hat{I}^2 + (+\frac{1}{2}c_3)\sigma_2^2\hat{S}^2 + \theta_2k_1\hat{I}\hat{S}(1+\hat{C}^2) + \theta_2k_1\hat{C}(\hat{I}^2+\hat{S}^2) + \sigma_3^2\hat{C}^2$$

$$k_{0} = \min\{\theta_{1}^{2}(\mu - k_{2}\hat{S}\hat{C}) - \theta_{1}^{2}\sigma_{1}^{2} - \theta_{2}k_{1}\hat{C}, \delta + \mu - \theta_{2}k_{2}\hat{S}\hat{C} - \theta_{2}k_{1}\hat{C} - \sigma_{2}^{2}, \mu - \theta_{2}\hat{I}\hat{S} - \sigma_{3}^{2}\}$$

and

$$c_3 = \frac{(\delta + 2\mu)}{k_1}.$$

Proof First consider the following functional

$$V_{2}(I, S, C) = \frac{1}{2} [\theta_{1}(I - \hat{I}) + (S - \hat{S})]^{2} + c_{3} \left(S - \hat{S} + \hat{S} \ln\left(\frac{S}{\hat{S}}\right)\right) + \frac{(C - \hat{C})^{2}}{2}$$
(4.14)

Now by applying Ito's formula we get

$$dV_{2}(I, S, C) = LV_{2}dt + [\theta_{1}(I - \hat{I}) + (S - \hat{S})][\theta_{1}\sigma_{1}IdW_{1} + \sigma_{2}SdW_{2}] + c_{3}\left(1 - \frac{\hat{S}}{S}\right)\sigma_{2}SdW_{2} + (C - \hat{C})\sigma_{3}CdW_{3}, \qquad (4.15)$$

where

$$LV_{2} = [\theta_{1}(I-\hat{I})+S-\hat{S}][\theta_{1}f(I, S, C)+g(I, S, C)] \\ + \frac{1}{2}(\theta_{1}^{2}\sigma_{1}^{2}I^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}S^{2})+c_{3}\theta_{1}k_{1}(I-\hat{I})(S-\hat{S}) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{2}^{2}\hat{S}+(C-\hat{C})[\theta_{2}k_{1}IS+\phi k_{2}IC-\mu C] \\ + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{3}^{2}\hat{C}.$$
(4.16)

Deringer

Now choose c_3 such that $c_3 = \frac{(\delta + 2\mu)}{k_1}$. Clearly c_3 is positive. Integrating 4.15 using 4.17 and taking expectation we obtain

$$EV_2(t) \le EV_2(0) + M_0 t \tag{4.18}$$

where $M_0 = \frac{1}{2}k_2\theta_1(1+\theta_1)\hat{I}\hat{C} + \theta_1^2k_2\hat{I}^3\hat{C} + \theta_1k_2\hat{I}\hat{S}^2$ $\hat{C} + \theta_1\sigma_1^2\hat{I}^2 + (+\frac{1}{2}c_3)\sigma_2^2\hat{S}^2$ $+\theta_2k_1\hat{I}\hat{S}(1+\hat{C}^2) + \theta_2k_1\hat{C}(\hat{I}^2+\hat{S}^2) + \sigma_3^2\hat{C}^2$. Therefore

$$lim_{t \to \infty} Sup \frac{1}{t} E \int_{0}^{t} [(I - \hat{I})^{2} + (S - \hat{S})^{2} + (C - \hat{C})^{2}] ds \leq \frac{M_{0}}{k_{0}}$$
(4.19)

and

$$k_{0} = \min\{\theta_{1}^{2}(\mu - k_{2}\hat{S}\hat{C}) - \theta_{1}^{2}\sigma_{1}^{2} - \theta_{2}k_{1}\hat{C}, \delta + \mu - \theta_{2}k_{2}\hat{S}\hat{C} - \theta_{2}k_{1}\hat{C} - \sigma_{2}^{2}, \mu - \theta_{2}\hat{I}\hat{S} - \sigma_{3}^{2}\}$$

Hence the theorem.

4.2 Extinction and persistence of rumor

Lemma 2 : [54] If (I, S, C) be the solution of the system (4.1) with the initial condition $(I_0, S_0, C_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$, then we have

$$lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{I+S+C}{t} = 0 \quad a.s. \tag{4.20}$$

and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \sup \frac{\ln I(t)}{t} \leq 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \sup \frac{\ln S(t)}{t} \leq 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \sup \frac{\ln C(t)}{t} \leq 0$ a.s. Again, when $\mu > \frac{1}{2}\max\{\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \sigma_3^2\}$, we obtain following results:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_0^t I(s) dW_1(s)}{t} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_0^t S(s) dW_2(s)}{t} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_0^t C(s) dW_3(s)}{t} = 0.$$

Theorem 6 If (I, S, C) is a solution of system (4.1) with initial value $(I_0, S_0, C_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$. Furthermore, when $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1 + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}$ and $\mu > \frac{1}{2}max\{\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \sigma_3^2\}$, the spreader population S(t) goes to extinction i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S(r) dr < 0.$$
(4.21)

Proof First define the functional $P(S) = \ln(S(t))$. Then applying Ito's formula to P(S) we obtain

$$d\ln(S(t)) = [\theta_1 k_1 I(t) - (\delta + \mu)] dt + \sigma_2 dW_2 - \frac{{\sigma_2}^2}{2} dt \qquad (4.22) \leq [\theta_1 k_1 \frac{B}{\mu} - (\delta + \mu + \frac{{\sigma_2}^2}{2})] dt + \sigma_2 dW_2. (4.23)$$

Integrating both sides and dividing by t, we get

$$\frac{\ln S(t) - \ln S(0)}{t} \leq \left[\theta_1 k_1 \frac{B}{\mu} - (\delta + \mu) + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}\right] + \frac{\sigma_2}{t} W_2(t) \qquad (4.24)$$
$$= (\delta + \mu) \left[\mathcal{R}_0 - 1 - \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2(\delta + \mu)}\right] + \frac{\sigma_2}{t} \int_0^t dW_2(s) ds. \qquad (4.25)$$

Now taking limit superior as $t \to \infty$ and using strong law o large numbers for Martingale, we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_0^t \sigma_2 dW_2(s) ds}{t} = 0 \quad a.s.$$
(4.26)

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{\ln S(t)}{t} \le (\delta + \mu) \left[\mathcal{R}_0 - 1 - \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2(\delta + \mu)} \right] \quad a.s.$$
(4.27)

Finally we get

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{\ln S(t)}{t} < 0 \quad a.s. \text{ if } \left[\mathcal{R}_0 < 1 + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2(\delta + \mu)} \right].$$
(4.28)

The above result implies that $\lim_{t\to\infty} S(t) = 0$ *a.s.* i.e. the spreader class goes to the extinction if $\theta_1 k_1 \frac{B}{\mu} < \left(\delta + \mu + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}\right)$. Also by the formulation of the model we have $\lim_{t\to 0} C(t) = 0$ *a.s.*. Then for the positive constants c_1, c_2 there exist $T_1, T_2 > 0$ such that $S \le \frac{c_1}{k_1}$ and $C \le \frac{c_2}{p}$ for all t > 0

$$dI = (B - k_1 I S - k_2 I C - \mu I) dt + \sigma_1 I(t) dW_1$$
(4.29)

 $max\{T_1, T_2\}$. Subsequently we have,

$$\geq B - (\mu + c_1 + c_2)Idt + \sigma_1 IdW_1.$$
(4.30)

Springer

Again integrating both sides and dividing y t along with the Lemma 2 we get

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t I(r) dr \ge \frac{B}{(\mu + c_1 + c_2)}$$
 a.s.
(4.31)

 c_1, c_2 being arbitrary, let us choose c_1, c_2 as $c_1 = k_1 \frac{B}{\mu}, c_2 = p \frac{B}{\mu}$.

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t I(r) dr \ge \frac{B}{\mu + \frac{2B}{\mu}} \quad a.s.$$
 (4.32)

4.3 Persistence

For the stochastic system of rumor propagation (4.1) the spreader population S(t) is said to be persistent in mean if $\lim_{t\to\infty} \inf \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S(r) dr > 0$.

Lemma 3 Consider the function $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}([0, \infty) \times \Omega, (0, \infty))$. Then for the positive values η_0 , η satisfying

$$\ln f(t) \ge \eta t - \eta_0 \int_0^t f(r) dr + F(t) \ a.s.$$

for all t > 0 and $F(t) \in \mathbb{C}([0, \infty) \times \Omega, (R))$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{F(t)}{t} = 0$ a.s., we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S(r) dr \ge \frac{\eta}{\eta_0} \quad a.s.$$
(4.33)

Theorem 7 Considering $\mu > \frac{1}{2}max\{\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \sigma_3^2\}$, for any solution (I, S, C) of system (4.1) with initial values I(0) > 0, S(0) > 0, C(0) > 0 along with $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 > 1$, the rumor spreader population is said to persists i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S(r) dr > 0.$$
(4.34)

Proof Integrating Eq. (4.22) and dividing both sides by *t* we obtain the following equation

$$\frac{\ln S(t) - \ln S(0)}{t} = \theta_1 k_1 \frac{\int_0^t I(r) dr}{t} - (\delta + \mu + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}) + \frac{\sigma_2 \int_0^t dW_2(t)}{t}.$$
(4.35)

Now using 4.32, we have

$$\ln \frac{\ln S(t)}{t} \ge \theta_1 k_1 \frac{B}{\mu + \frac{2B}{\mu}} + \frac{\ln S(0)}{t} - \left(\delta + \mu + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}\right) + \sigma_2 W_2(t)$$
(4.36)
$$\ge \theta_1 k_1 \frac{B}{\mu + \frac{2B}{\mu}} - \left(\delta + \mu + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}\right) - \frac{\int_0^t S(r) dr}{t} + \sigma_2 W_2(t) \text{ as } S(t) \ge 0.$$
(4.37)

Applying lemma 3 we have the following result

$$\frac{\int_0^t S(r)dr}{t} \ge \theta_1 k_1 \frac{B}{\mu + \frac{2B}{\mu}} - \left(\delta + \mu + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}\right).$$
(4.38)

Therefore whenever $\theta_1 k_1 \frac{B}{\mu + + \frac{2B}{\mu}} > \left(\delta + \mu + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}\right)$, the rumor persists in social networks.

5 Numerical results and discussion

In this section, we present some numerical plots to enhance and validate the model formulation and dynamical analyses given in the above sections. All the simulations are performed using Matlab R2018a. For deterministic system we use *ode*45 Matlab solver and for the corresponding stochastic model we use *sde* (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 Flow diagram showing rumor transmission process

Table 2 Parameter set

Parameter set	В	k_1	θ_1	θ_2	р	ϕ	μ	δ
A_1	3	0.15	0.56	0.12	0.65	0.2	0.5	0.32
A_2	10	0.45	0.37	0.12	0.125	0.2	0.38	0.12
A_3	6	0.65	0.6	0.12	0.35	0.2	0.15	0.12

5.1 Simulations for deterministic system

For simulation we have estimated parameter values as given in the following Table 2.

According to our model (2.1), inhibitor interacts only with newcomers with incidence ratio p. Figure 2a depicts that p helps to suppress the rumor spreaders and in contrast k_1 helps to increase. On the contrary Fig. 2b demonstrates that k_1 helps to increase the inhibitor population up to some extent and then starts to suppress the growth of counter rumor spreaders, which validates our model formulation. Clearly, the inhibitor population grows large as p increases. Figure 3 illustrates the role counter rumor spreaders in curbing rumor spreaders and vice-versa. From Fig. 3a, it can be noted that increase in inhibitor population can successfully suppress the spread of rumor.

As we already know that \mathcal{R}_0 , the threshold value of influence, as defined by (3.10) is an important parametric expression. It leads the system either to rumor free scenario or to the pervasiveness of rumor. The blue line indicates the stability of the system and the red line for instability. Figure 4 are the contour plots for \mathcal{R}_0 , demonstrate the bi-linear dependence of \mathcal{R}_0 on parameters B, θ_1 and k_1 . Note that all three parameters helps \mathcal{R}_0 to rise. Next we do sensitivity analysis for \mathcal{R}_0 to figure out the impacts of all the parameters on \mathcal{R}_0 (Fig. 1). Figure 5 shows the stability switch with the increase of \mathcal{R}_0 .

Definition: Normalized forward sensitivity index of a variable *u*, that depends on a variable *v*, is expressed as $\pi_v = \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} \times \frac{v}{u}$.

The sensitivity index of \mathcal{R}_0 with respect to θ_1 , B, k_1 , μ ,

 δ is given follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\theta_{1}}^{\mathcal{R}_{0}} &= 1 \\ \pi_{k_{1}}^{\mathcal{R}_{0}} &= 1 \\ \pi_{B}^{\mathcal{R}_{0}} &= 1 \\ \pi_{\delta}^{\mathcal{R}_{0}} &= -\frac{\delta\mu^{2}(\delta+\mu)}{(\mu\delta+\mu^{2})^{2}} \\ \pi_{\mu}^{\mathcal{R}_{0}} &= -\left[1 + \frac{\mu}{(\delta+\mu)}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Figure 6 depicts that \mathcal{R}_0 is highly sensitive to the transmission rate of rumors (k_1) , number of new accounts (B) and the proportion that individuals in newcomer class switch to spreader class (θ_1) . And it is inversely sensitive to rate of account blocking in spreader population (δ) , highly inversely sensitive to logging off rate (μ) .



(a) Contour plots of spreaders with parameter set A_2 .

(b) Contour plots of inhibitors with parameter set A_2 .

Fig. 2 Contour plots presenting the impact of two contrasting parameters k_1 and p on the growth of Spreaders and Counter-rumor spreaders



(a) Spreader density decreases from its prevailing state with increasing value of p.

(b) Inhibitors or Counter rumor spreader density hikes from its previous state with increasing value of p.





(a) B and θ_1 are varied and other parameters are keeping fixed at $k_1 = 0.3$, $\delta = 0.32$, $\mu = 0.293$.



(b) k_1 and θ_1 are varied and other parameters are keeping fixed at $B = 2, \ \delta = 0.32, \ \mu = 0.293.$

Fig. 4 Contour plots of \mathcal{R}_0 presenting the impact of changing parameters on the development of \mathcal{R}_0

The 3 - D phase portrait in Fig. 7 presents that trajectories with various initial conditions and parameter set as $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$, converges to a single point, illustrating the global stability of the rumor prevailing state, that is analytically proved in theorem 2.

5.2 Sensitivity analysis

The input parameters in any complex deterministic mathematical model are usually considered constant. These values cannot be known with sufficient degree of accuracy due to error in measurements of parameters, inefficiency of present techniques to measure them, etc. Therefore, sensitivity analysis is conducted to quantify the impact of uncertainty on the system's output and to know the confidence level of the parameter estimates. There exist a lot of uncertainty in the behavior of the users of social networks [53], that influence the spread of rumor. Here, we perform global sensitivity using LHS-PRCC scheme [55] to estimate the impact of uncertainty of input parameters of rumor spread model on system output. In case of uncertainty analysis, Latin hypercube sampling (LHS) is the most efficient technique as it includes fewer sample than random sampling to give equivalent accuracy.



Fig. 5 Transcritical bifurcation with respect to \mathcal{R}_0 with parameter set A_2



Fig. 6 Bar-diagram to measure the sensitivity of \mathcal{R}_0 with respect to parameters and the values of parameters are taken from A_2



Fig. 7 Trajectories from different initial points converge to a single point with parameter set A_2

Also, for each parameter samples are taken without replacement under pdf (probability density function) within certain interval. pdfs are divided into N equal probability intervals, where N is the sample size and $N \ge k + 1$, k = number of parameters varied in simulation. Next $N \times k$ is generated that gives N number of solutions for each set of parameter variation. PRCC measures nonlinear and monotonic dependence between system's input parameters x_j and output variable y. Neglecting the correlation between input parameters, the correlation coefficient (CC) $r \in [-1, 1]$, between is x_j and y is derived by the following formula as discussed in [55,56]. $r = \frac{Cov(x_j, y)}{\sqrt{Var(x_j)Var(y)}} =$

 $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{ij} - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{ij} - \bar{x})^2 (y_i - \bar{y})^2}} \bar{x} \text{ and } \bar{y} \text{ are sample means corre-}$

sponding to x_j and y respectively. Partial correlation is measured between $(x_j - \hat{x})$ and $(y - \hat{y})$ after discarding the linear effects of input parameters on y. After rank transformed of j - th input and output variable for k - sampled data, $(x_j - \hat{x}_j)$ and $(y - \hat{y})$ are derived by following linear regression models:

$$\hat{x}_j = c_0 + \sum_{n=1, n \neq j}^k c_n x_n$$
 and $\hat{y} = d_0 + \sum_{n=1, n \neq j}^k d_n x_n$.

Next we perform combined LHS-PRCC method as described in [55] to analyze uncertainty of our model. We start our analysis by defining a parameter set for LHS matrix followed by constructing the pairs of sample from output variables. Then by rank transforming the system parameter and output variable matrix, we calculate PRCC of parameters.

Among all the parameters we have allotted 4 parameters (B, k_1, θ_1, d_1) to normal distribution and 4 parameters (μ, p, θ_2, ϕ) to uniform distribution. The sample size is taken N = 1000 for PRCC analysis. PRCC finds the effect system parameters on output variables and also finds out the most influential parameter to achieve specific target like efficiently control of any widespread rumor. Here we present scatter plots to asses the statistical impact of every parameter on spreader class (Fig. 8) and counter spreader class (Fig. 9). The sign of the PRCC values depicts that the qualitative dependence of spreader and inhibitors populations to each parameter. Positive sign denotes that the parameters help populations to grow and negative sign inversely influences the growth of the populations. Here the minimum allowed certainty or confidence level for the test is considered at 95 on PRCC values ($p \le 0.05$). From



Fig. 8 Scatter plots of parameters depicting the correlation of spreader population with sample size 1000 and significance level 0.05



Fig. 9 Scatter plots of parameters depicting the correlation of counter-rumor-spreaders with sample size 1000 and significance level 0.05

Fig. 8, k_1 , θ_1 has positive influence on spreader population, while μ has negative influences. On the contrary, Fig. 9 depicts that k_1 , θ_1 and μ help C(t) to grow.

5.3 Simulations for stochastic system

Next, we present plots for the corresponding stochastic system (4.1). Here we use the previous parameter sets along with the noise intensities σ_1 , σ_2 , σ_3 .

Fig. 10d shows that the solution trajectory of system (4.1) evolves around the solution of the system (2.1). With parameter set A_2 along with noise intensity values $\sigma_1 = 0.2$, $\sigma_2 = 0.85$, $\sigma_3 = 0.3$, this figure establishes the result of the theorem 4. Note that all the parameter values and noise values satisfy the conditions of the theorem 4. In this case, individuals in ignorant class are the only survivors in the system as time goes on and



(a) Growth of newcomer population.



(c) Growth of counter spreader population.



(d) 3d phase portrait of system (2.1) and (4.1).

Fig. 10 Time series of populations and 3d phase portrait show extinction of rumor for system (4.1) with parameter set A_1 for which $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ and $\sigma_1 = 0.2, \sigma_2 = 0.85, \sigma_3 = 0.3$

spreader population goes to extinction faster in presence of noise (see Fig. 10b).

Here, we consider the parameter set A_3 for which $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ and noise intensities $\sigma_1 = 0.2, \sigma_2 = 0.85, \sigma_3 = 0.3$ so that the conditions in theorem 5 get satisfied. Then figures in Fig. 11 reflects the result of theorem 5 numerically. i.e., the solution path of each population for stochastic system (4.1) fluctuates around the solution paths of respective population of prevailing state for the deterministic system (2.1). Figure 11e ensures the same thing. Figure 11d presents the relative frequency density for spreader class.

To prove the persistence theory, discussed in theorem 7, we choose parameter set A_2 . Every plot in Fig. 12 shows the persistence and pervasiveness of rumor spreaders and also counter rumor spreaders. For the parameter set A_2 , we have $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$. Next we increase the values of noise intensity from $\sigma_1 = 0.13$, $\sigma_2 = 0.2$, $\sigma_3 = 0.3$ to $\sigma_1 = 1.6$, $\sigma_2 = 1.652$, $\sigma_3 = 1.652$, both the populations S(t) and C(t) decrease to zero see Fig. 13. So, noise intensities also help to control rumor if necessary. Note that noise values in Fig. 13 and parameters in A_2 do not satisfy the conditions given in theorem 7. And rumor is eventually wiped out with $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have formulated the ISCR (Ignorant-Spreader-Counter-Rumor Spreader-Stifler) model to



(a) Solution trajectories of newcomer class for both deter- (b) Solution trajectories of spreader class for both deterministic and stochastic system.



(c) Solution trajectories of counter spreader class for both (d) Stationary distribution of spreader population of sysdeterministic and stochastic system. tem (4.1).



(e) Sample paths of system (2.1) and (4.1).

Fig. 11 Pervasiveness of rumor with parameter set A_3 and $\sigma_1 = 0.2$, $\sigma_2 = 0.85$, $\sigma_3 = 0.3$

investigate the rumor propagation mechanism with the interference of inhibitors or counter-rumor spreaders. To better fit the model in a practical scenario, we have assumed that the number of counter-rumor spreaders grows with a nonlinear, non-decreasing function of spreaders. Moreover, we have derived the explicit



(a) Growth of newcomer population.



(c) Growth of counter spreader population.



(d) Stationary distribution of spreader population of system (4.1).

Fig. 12 Time series of populations show persistence of rumor for system (4.1) with parameter set A_2 and $\sigma_1 = 0.13$, $\sigma_2 = 0.2$, $\sigma_3 = 0.3$

expression of threshold value of influence \mathcal{R}_0 which regulates the rumor propagation. In our analysis, we have found that $\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{\theta_1 k_1 B}{\mu(\delta+\mu)}$ is closely related to the dynamical behavior of the system. When $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ (i.e., when the recruitment rate to the ignorant population or the transmission rate of rumor is higher compared to the blocking rate of malicious spreader accounts or the logging off rate of any account), then the stable RFE exists, i.e., rumors wipe out from social media eventually; while the converse condition is satisfied, then the RPE exists, i.e., rumors can persist in the population. We have proved analytically and numerically that the rise in \mathcal{R}_0 triggers the switch of stability from rumorfree state to prevailing state. We have employed normalized forward sensitivity analysis to show the impact of input parameters on \mathcal{R}_0 . The analysis has indicated that k_1, θ_1, B are the most sensitive parameters to \mathcal{R}_0 . In this connection, we have analyzed local stability of the deterministic system around both the equilibria. We have also found the conditions of global stability of the prevailing state, that can be compared to any widely spread rumor (i.e., rumor becomes viral). from our study it is found that if the rate of blocking malicious spreader accounts is low enough than the natural logging off rate ($\delta < \mu < 1$) (sufficient condition for global stability of RPS) and the spreading threshold is greater than 1, rumors can go viral. This situation is very common in social media and the situation can be controlled by increasing the interference of counter-rumor spreaders i.e., by raising the value of p (see Fig. 3a). Also, Increasing the blocking rate (δ) can be a solution. Moreover, the parameter values cannot be con-



Fig. 13 Disappearance of rumor spreaders and counter rumor spreaders with the same parameter set A_2 when noise intensities are set too high

sidered with sufficient degree of certainty in practical scenario; hence we have measured global sensitivity of spreader population and counter-rumor spreader population with respect to the uncertainty in input parameters and observed the contribution of parameters in inhibiting the spreading process or accelerating it (see Figs. 8 and 9). This study is really helpful to control any viral case (widespread rumor) by regulating the key parameters. As the behavior of netizens in social networks and their decision making are very uncertain [53]. The perception of people to any event is random and has a correlation with the changes in external environment [57]. To reflect this reality, we have introduced wiener process in our proposed system to study the randomness of rumor transmission process. Uniqueness and existence of a global positive solution of the stochastic system is verified. The trajectories of the random system deviate from the trajectories of deterministic one but we have established the parametric condition for which solution trajectories of stochastic system fluctuate around the respective solution trajectories of corresponding deterministic system.

We have also derived the parametric restrictions for persistence and extinction of rumor. It is observed that the noise intensities and the spreader density are inversely correlated in case of information dissemination in homogeneous social sites. The higher the noise intensities values the lesser the time is taken for disappearance of rumor from online social networks. Also, we have verified numerically that rise in noise intensity makes the disappearance of rumor faster with $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ (see Fig. 10b). Simply increase in noise values can even lead to extinction of rumor spreaders, keeping rest of the system parameters same for which rumor prevails in deterministic case(see Fig. 13). So, it can be regarded that environmental noise plays a significant role in suppressing rumors. As discussed earlier, the inhibitors include official media, various responsible web-pages, websites that release authentic information, scientific knowledge to respond public concern regarding any harmful widespread rumor and are capable to suppress rumor up to a certain extent. In this article, we have studied the harmful effect of rumor spread and discussed the mechanism of suppressing rumor, it is really hard to describe the exact process of rumor propagation with a homogeneous model with four groups of netizen. In article [22] the total population is subdivided into 5 subgroups including rumor debunkers D(t) and an optimal control strategy is applied to curb rumor. In our article, we have shown that the interference of a counter-rumor spreader or inhibitor C(t) can effectively suppress rumor. Moreover, we have introduced the blocking rate of the malicious spreader (δ), which is relatively new and has had a significant impact on suppressing rumors (see sensitivity analysis). In article [23], the sensitivity of \mathcal{R}_0 with respect to parameters is shown using Sobol sensitivity analysis. In our article, we have derived the normal forward sensitivity index for \mathcal{R}_0 and we have also investigated the sensitivity of spreader population S(t) and inhibitor population C(t) with respect to parameters using the LHS-PRCC test. Zhang and Zhu [30] induced stochastic perturbations in IHSR(Ignorant-Hesitant-Spreader-Stifler) model and derived the conditions for persistence and extinction of spreader class. According to their findings, noise has a negative impact on rumor propagation and can lead to its extinction. Similar results have also been obtained in our analysis; but here rumors are curbed or suppressed by the joint effort of counter-rumor spreaders and noise intensity. The existing theoretical findings are based on single layered homogeneous model. The spreading desire of any rumor is also influenced by different social background, education, exposure. In that case netizens get divided in different groups and rumors are spread at different rates in different groups, also application of control hits different groups differently. A multi-layered model is effective to study this dynamics in detail. This is left as a possible way of our further work.

Funding Moumita Ghosh is availing Institutional fellowship.

Data availability The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Shibutani, T.: Improvised News: A Sociological Study of Rumor. Bobbs-Merrill, Indianapolis (1966)
- Bakshy, E., Rosenn, I., Marlow, C., Adamic, L.: The role of social networks in information diffusion. In: Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on World Wide Web, New York, NY, USA, pp. 519–528. Association for Computing Machinery (2012)
- Acerbi, A.: Cognitive attraction and online misinformation. Palgrave Commun. 5(1), 15 (2019)
- Garrett, R.K.: Social media's contribution to political misperceptions in U.S. presidential elections. PLoS ONE 14(3), e0213500 (2019)
- Barua, Z., Barua, S., Aktar, S., Kabir, N., Li, M.: Effects of misinformation on covid-19 individual responses and recommendations for resilience of disastrous consequences of misinformation. Prog. Disaster Sci. 8, 100119 (2020)

- Millman, J.: The Inevitable rise of Ebola conspiracy theories. The Washington Post (2014)
- BBC News. AP twitter account hacked in fake 'white house blasts' post. Accessed 25 Feb 2016
- Daley, D.J., Kendall, D.G.: Epidemics and rumours. Nature 204(4963), 1118 (1964)
- 9. Maki, D.P., Thompson, M.: Mathematical models and applications: with emphasis on the social life, and management sciences. Technical report (1973)
- Moreno, Y., Nekovee, M., Pacheco, A.F.: Dynamics of rumor spreading in complex networks. Phys. Rev. E 69, 066130 (2004)
- Nekovee, M., Moreno, Y., Bianconi, G., Marsili, M.: Theory of rumour spreading in complex social networks. Phys. A 374(1), 457–470 (2007)
- Zanette, D.H.: Critical behavior of propagation on smallworld networks. Phys. Rev. E 64, 050901 (2001)
- Zanette, D.H.: Dynamics of rumor propagation on smallworld networks. Phys. Rev. E 65, 041908 (2002)
- Kawachi, K.: Deterministic models for rumor transmission. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 9(5), 1989–2028 (2008)
- Zhu, L., Liu, M., Li, Y.: The dynamics analysis of a rumor propagation model in online social networks. Phys. A 520, 118–137 (2019)
- Jain, A., Dhar, J., Gupta, V.K.: Optimal control of rumor spreading model on homogeneous social network with consideration of influence delay of thinkers. Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst. (2019)
- Huo, L., Lin, T., Fan, C., Liu, C., Zhao, J.: Optimal control of a rumor propagation model with latent period in emergency event. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2015**(1), 54 (2015)
- Ghosh, M., Das, S., Das, P.: Dynamics and control of delayed rumor propagation through social networks. J. Appl. Math. Comput. (2021)
- Huang, D.W., Yang, L.X., Li, P., Yang, X., Tang, Y.Y.: Developing cost-effective rumor-refuting strategy through gametheoretic approach. IEEE Syst. J. 1–12 (2020)
- Zhu, L., Wang, Y.: Rumor spreading model with noise interference in complex social networks. Phys. A Stat. Mech. Appl. 469, 750–760 (2017)
- 21. Afassinou, K.: Analysis of the impact of education rate on the rumor spreading mechanism. Phys. A **414**, 43–52 (2014)
- Li, T., Guo, Y.: Nonlinear dynamical analysis and optimal control strategies for a new rumor spreading model with comprehensive interventions. Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. 20(3), 84 (2021)
- Li, M., Zhang, H., Georgescu, P., Li, T.: The stochastic evolution of a rumor spreading model with two distinct spread inhibiting and attitude adjusting mechanisms in a homogeneous social network. Phys. A 562, 125321 (2021)
- Yuhan, H., Pan, Q., Hou, W., He, M.: Rumor spreading model with the different attitudes towards rumors. Phys. A 502, 331–344 (2018)
- Xia, L.-L., Jiang, G.-P., Song, B., Song, Y.-R.: Rumor spreading model considering hesitating mechanism in complex social networks. Phys. A 437, 295–303 (2015)
- Zhao, L., Wang, J., Chen, Y., Wang, Q., Cheng, J., Cui, H.: SIHR rumor spreading model in social networks. Phys. A 391(7), 2444–2453 (2012)

- Chen, X., Wang, N.: Rumor spreading model considering rumor credibility, correlation and crowd classification based on personality. Sci. Rep. 10(1), 5887 (2020)
- Choi, D., Chun, S., Oh, H., Han, J., Kwon, T.T.: Rumor propagation is amplified by echo chambers in social media. Sci. Rep. 10(1), 310 (2020)
- Dhar, J., Jain, A., Gupta, V.: A mathematical model of news propagation on online social network and a control strategy for rumor spreading. Soc. Netw. Anal. Min. 6, 57 (2016)
- Zhang, Y., Zhu, J.: Dynamics of a rumor propagation model with stochastic perturbation on homogeneous social networks. J. Comput. Nonlinear Dyn. 17(3), 031005 (2022)
- Kim, H.K.: The impact of online social networking on adolescent psychological well-being (wb): a population-level analysis of korean school-aged children. Int. J. Adolesc. Youth 22(3), 364–376 (2017)
- Buchanan, T.: Why do people spread false information online? The effects of message and viewer characteristics on self-reported likelihood of sharing social media disinformation. PLoS ONE 15(10), 1–33 (2020)
- Guess, A., Nagler, J., Tucker, J.: Less than you think: prevalence and predictors of fake news dissemination on Facebook. Sci. Adv. 5(1), eaau4586 (2019)
- Dennis, A.R., Moravec, P.L., Minas, R.K.: Fake news on social media: people believe what they want to believe when it makes no sense at all. MIS Q. (2019)
- Huo, L., Chen, X.: Dynamical analysis of a stochastic rumorspreading model with Holling II functional response function and time delay. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2020(1), 651 (2020)
- Das, P., Upadhyay, R.K., Misra, A.K., Rihan, F.A., Das, P., Ghosh, D.: Exploring dynamical complexity in a timedelayed tumor-immune model. Chaos Interdiscip. J. Nonlinear Sci. 30(12), 123118 (2020)
- Jia, F., Lv, G., Zou, G.: Dynamic analysis of a rumor propagation model with lévy noise. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 41(4), 1661–1673 (2018)
- Das, P., Mukherjee, S., Das, P., Banerjee, S.: Characterizing chaos and multifractality in noise-assisted tumor-immune interplay. Nonlinear Dyn. **101**(1), 675–685 (2020)
- Das, P., Mondal, P., Das, P., Roy, T.K.: Stochastic persistence and extinction in tumor-immune system perturbed by white noise. Int. J. Dyn. Control 10(2), 620–629 (2022)
- Das, P., Upadhyay, R.K., Misra, A.K., Rihan, F.A., Das, P., Ghosh, D.: Mathematical model of covid-19 with comorbidity and controlling using non-pharmaceutical interventions and vaccination. Nonlinear Dyn. 106(2), 1213–1227 (2021)
- Das, P., Nadim, S.S., Das, S., Das, P.: Dynamics of covid-19 transmission with comorbidity: a data driven modelling based approach. Nonlinear Dyn. 106(2), 1197–1211 (2021)
- Lü, X., Chen, S.J.: Interaction solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations via Hirota bilinear forms: onelump-multi-stripe and one-lump-multi-soliton types. Nonlinear Dyn. 103, 947–977 (2021)
- Zhao, Y.-W., Xia, J.-W., Lü, X.: The variable separation solution, fractal and chaos in an extended coupled (2+1)dimensional burgers system. Nonlinear Dyn. 108, 1–11 (2022)
- Chen, S.J., Yin, Y.H., Ma, W.X., Lu, X.: Dynamic behaviors of the lump solutions and mixed solutions to a (2+1)-dimensional nonlinear model. Commun. Theor. Phys. (2023)

- Lü, X., Hui, H., Liu, F., Bai, Y.: Stability and optimal control strategies for a novel epidemic model of covid-19. Nonlinear Dyn. 106(2), 1491–1507 (2021)
- Yin, M.-Z., Zhu, Q.-W., Lü, X.: Parameter estimation of the incubation period of covid-19 based on the doubly intervalcensored data model. Nonlinear Dyn. **106**(2), 1347–1358 (2021)
- Yin, Y.-H., Lü, X., Ma, W.-X.: Bäcklund transformation, exact solutions and diverse interaction phenomena to a (3+1)-dimensional nonlinear evolution equation. Nonlinear Dyn. **108**(4), 4181–4194 (2022)
- Das, P., Das, P., Mukherjee, S.: Stochastic dynamics of Michaelis-Menten kinetics based tumor-immune interactions. Phys. A 541, 123603 (2020)
- Das, P., Das, S., Upadhyay, R.K., Das, P.: Optimal treatment strategies for delayed cancer-immune system with multiple therapeutic approach. Chaos Solitons Fractals 136, 109806 (2020)
- The Economic Times. Corona Virus: Chicken prices fall, poultry industry affected. Accessed 9 Mar 2020
- Dipesh, Kumar, P.: Delay differential equation model of forest biomass and competition between wood-based industries and synthetic-based industries. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. (2023)
- Driessche, P., Watmough, J.: Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission. Math. Biosci. 180, 29–48 (2002)
- Wang, Y., Vasilakos, A., Ma, J., Xiong, N.: On studying the impact of uncertainty on behavior diffusion in social networks. IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. Syst. 45, 185– 197 (2015)
- Jia, F., Lv, G.: Dynamic analysis of a stochastic rumor propagation model. Phys. A 490, 613–623 (2018)
- Marino, S., Hogue, I.B., Ray, C.J., Kirschner, D.E.: A methodology for performing global uncertainty and sensitivity analysis in systems biology. J. Theor. Biol. 254(1), 178–196 (2008)
- 56. Das, P., Das, S., Das, P., Rihan, F.A., Uzuntarla, M., Ghosh, D.: Optimal control strategy for cancer remission using combinatorial therapy: a mathematical model-based approach. Chaos Solitons Fractals **145**, 110789 (2021)
- Cheng, Y., Huo, L., Zhao, L.: Rumor spreading in complex networks under stochastic node activity. Phys. A 559, 125061 (2020)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.